UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,439	08/14/2003	John H. Brophy	02-024	2458
34833	7590 07/09/2007		EXAMINER	
FRANK ROSENBERG P.O. BOX 29230			MCDONOUGH, JAMES E	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94129-0230		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			BROPHY ET AL.				
		10/642,439	Art Unit				
	<b></b>	Examiner	•				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	James E. McDonough ears on the cover sheet with the co	1755				
Period fo							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 June 2007</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,7-9,11,24,28,32,34-43 and 45-7</u>	8 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1, 3, 5, 7-9, 11, 24, 28, 32, 34-43, and 45-78 is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attach	· · ·						
Attachmer  1) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of Informal I 6) Other:	atent Application .				

Art Unit: 1755

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants argument against the rejections in view of Brohpy et al., stating that since Brophy et al. is only eligible as 102(e) and was commonly owned at the time of the invention, that it does not constitute prior art, this is found persuasive, therefore the 103 rejections in view of Brophy et al. have been withdrawn. However, examiner would like to note that the Brophy et al. reference was not cited in any of the four IDS forms submitted.

## **New Rejections**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- (2) Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9, 11, 24, 28, 34-39, 41-42, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haswell et al., Lab on a Chip, 2001, vol. 1, pp. 164-166 in view of Tonkovich et al. (USP 6,488,838).

Art Unit: 1755

- (3) Although, Haswell et al. does not teach a microchannel with one wall that is adjacent to a heat transfer microchannel, Haswell et al. does teach using nickel and palladium (column 1, paragraph 1) with a Schiff base ligand that has oxo bridges and is chiral and tethered to a support (scheme1) wherein the support beads are porous (column 4, paragraph 6) and that heat transfer is improved in microreactors, but is silent as to how this heat transfer is achieved. However, because Tonkavich et al. teaches that when using microreactors with microchannels, a conventional way to achieve heat transfer is to arrange a heat transfer microchannel adjacent to a reactor microchannel (column 6, lines 36-38), it would have been prima facie obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to, modify the teachings of Haswell et al., by incorporating a heat transfer microchannel adjacent to a reactor microchannel to facilitate heat transfer, as suggested by Tonkovich et al.
- (4) Claims 28, 32, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haswell et al., Lab on a Chip, 2001, vol. 1, pp. 164-166 in view of Tonkovich et al. (USP 6,488,838) as applied to claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9, 11, 24, 28, 34-39, 41-42, and 47 above, and further in view of Hoveyda et al. (US 2004/0019212).
- (5) Although, Haswell et al. and Tonkovich et al. do not explicitly disclose a dendritic catalyst, they do teach the rest of the limitations of the instant claims.

  However, because Hoveyda et al. teaches the use of chiral organometallic/transition metal complex that can be in monomeric, polymeric, or dendritic form are stable and recyclable showing superior activity and stereoselectivity, it would have been obvious to

**Art Unit: 1755** 

someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Haswell et al., Tonkovich et al. and Hoveyda et al. with reasonable expectation of success and the expected benefit of catalyst reactors with high selectivity and stereoselectivity.

- (6) Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haswell et al., Lab on a Chip, 2001, vol. 1, pp. 164-166 in view of Tonkovich et al. (USP 6,488,838) as applied to claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9, 11, 24, 28, 34-39, 41-42, and 47 above, and further in view of Kang (US Patent No. 3,993,855).
- (7) Although, Haswell et al. and Tonkovich et al. do not explicitly disclose the specific Ni, Rh, or Ir catalyst, they do teach the rest of the limitations of the instant claims. However, because Kang teaches the use of RhH(CO(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and that it provides selective hydrogenation (column 1, lines 41-45), it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kang with that of Haswell et al. and Tonkovich et al. with a reasonable expectation of success and the expected benefit of forming a selective catalyst system.
- (8) Claims 43, 45, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haswell et al., Lab on a Chip, 2001, vol. 1, pp. 164-166 in view of Tonkovich et al. (USP 6,488,838) as applied to claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9, 11, 24, 28, 34-39, 41-42, and 47 above, and further in view of Chapman, Jr. et al. (US 2002/0182603).

**Art Unit: 1755** 

- (9) Although, Haswell et al. and Tonkovich et al. do not explicitly disclose the chloro propyl silanes/amines, they do teach the rest of the limitations of the instant claims. However, because Chapman, Jr. et al. teaches the use of chlorpropylsilane and amino propyl linkers that link a substrate with a support and that such substrate surfaces feature a uniform distribution of attachment functionality (abstract, scheme 1, and paragraph 0039), it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Chapman, Jr. with that of Haswell et al. and Tonkovich et al. with a reasonable expectation of success and the expected benefit of uniform distribution of catalyst moieties.
- (10) Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haswell et al., Lab on a Chip, 2001, vol. 1, pp. 164-166 in view of Tonkovich et al. (USP 6,488,838) as applied to claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9, 11, 24, 28, 34-39, 41-42, and 47 above, and further in view of Ostoja-Starzewski et al. (US 2003/0036474).
- (11) Although, Haswell et al. and Tonkovich et al. do not explicitly disclose the use of metallocene, they do teach the rest of the limitations of the instant claims.

  However, because Ostoja-Starzewski et al. teaches the use of tethered (linked) metallocenes and that these catalyst allow the formation of defect free polyethylene to a degree not achieved with conventional catalyst, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ostoja-Starzewski et al. with that of Haswell et al. and Tonkovich et al. with

Art Unit: 1755

a reasonable expectation of success and the expected benefit of forming a catalyst that can produce defect free polyethylene.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James E. McDonough whose telephone number is (571)272-6398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571)272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JEM 7/4/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER